## General Education Critical Thinking Rubric Northeastern Illinois University

Quality Macro Criteria	<b>No/Limited Proficiency</b> (D&E)	<b>Some Proficiency</b> (C)	<b>Proficiency</b> (B)	High Proficiency (A)	Rating (a,b,c,d)
1. Identifies & Explains Issues	Fails to identify, summarize, or explain the main problem or question. Represents the issues inaccurately or inappropriately.	Identifies main issues but does not summarize or explain them clearly or sufficiently	Successfully identifies and summarizes the main issues, but does not explain why/how they are problems or create questions	Clearly identifies and summarizes main issues and successfully explains why/how they are problems or questions; and identifies embedded or implicit issues, addressing their relationships to each other.	(4,0,0,0,4)
2. Distinguishes Types of Claims	Fails to label correctly any of the factual, conceptual and value dimensions of the problems and proposed solutions.	Successfully identifies some, but not all of the factual, conceptual, and value aspects of the questions and answers.	Successfully separates and labels all the factual, conceptual, and value claims	Clearly and accurately labels not only all the factual, conceptual, and value, but also those implicit in the assumptions and the implications of positions and arguments.	
3. Recognizes Stakeholders and Contexts	Fails accurately to identify and explain any empirical or theoretical contexts for the issues. Presents problems as having no connections to other conditions or contexts.	Shows some general understanding of the influences of empirical and theoretical contexts on stakeholders, but does not identify many specific ones relevant to situation at hand.	Correctly identifies all the empirical and most of theoretical contexts relevant to all the main stakeholders in the situation.	Not only correctly identifies all the empirical and theoretical contexts relevant to all the main stakeholders, but also finds minor stakeholders and contexts and shows the tension or conflicts of interests among them.	
4. Considers Methodology	Fails to explain how/why/which specific methods of research are relevant to the kind of issue at hand.	Identifies some but not all methods required for dealing with the issue; does not explain why they are relevant or effective.	Successfully explains how/why/which methods are most relevant to the problem.	In addition to explaining how/why/which methods are typically used, also describes embedded methods and possible alternative methods of working on the problem.	
5. Frames Personal Responses and Acknowledges Other Perspectives	Fails to formulate and clearly express own point of view, (or) fails to anticipate objections to his/her point of view, (or) fails to consider other perspectives and position.	Formulates a vague and indecisive point of view, or anticipates minor but not major objections to his/her point of view, or considers weak but not strong alternative positions.	Formulates a clear and precise personal point of view concerning the issue, and seriously discusses its weaknesses as well as its strengths.	Not only formulates a clear and precise personal point of view, but also acknowledges objections and rival positions and provides convincing replies to these.	

## General Education Critical Thinking Rubric Northeastern Illinois University

Quality	<b>No/Limited Proficiency</b>	Some Proficiency (C)	<b>Proficiency</b> (B)	High Proficiency (A)	Rating
Micro Criteria	(D&E)				(a,b,c,d)
6. Reconstructs	Fails to identify the	Identifies a few of the	Correctly analyzes the	Not only correctly reconstructs	
Arguments	major components of the	premises but confuses the	arguments and theories;	the main argument but does the	
	main arguments at stake	conclusion of the main	restates its component	same for subsidiary arguments	
	and to show their logical	argument in support of	propositions and	and theories, and correctly	
	relations.	the position under	reconstructs their	identifies the kind or status of	
		consideration (his or her	relationships correctly.	each of them.	
		own, or that of others)			
7. Interprets	Fails to identify and	Clarifies the meaning of	Convincingly explains	Offers fined-grainned and	
Content	choose between the	a few but far from all of	the meaning of all the	original interpretations of a	
	possible meanings of the	the key terms and	key terms and main	crucial term or proposition	
	key terms and	propositions involved.	propositions involved	involved in the issue.	
	propositions included in		in the arguments and		
	the arguments and		theories involved.		
	theories in use.				
8. Evaluates	Fails to identify and	Identifies some of the	Identifies and evaluates	Not only identifies and evaluates	
Assumptions	evaluate any of the	most important	all the important	all the important assumptions,	
	important assumptions	assumptions, but does not	assumptions, but not	but also some of the more	
	behind the claims and	evaluate them for	the ones deeper in the	hidden, more abstract ones.	
	recommendations made.	plausibility or clarity.	background – the more		
			abstract ones.		
9. Evaluates	Fails to identify data and	Successfully identifies	Identified all important	Not only identifies and	
Evidence	information that counts	data and information that	evidence and	rigorously evaluates all	
	as evidence for truth-	counts as evidence but	rigorously evaluates it.	important evidence offered, but	
	claims and fails to	fails to thoroughly		also provides new data or	
	evaluate its credibility.	evaluate its credibility.		information for consideration.	
10. Evaluates	Fails to identify and	Successfully identifies	Identifies and avoids all	Not only identifies and avoids all	
Inferences	explain mistakes in the	and avoids some	mistakes of reasoning	mistakes of reasoning but gives	
	reasoning of others and	common mistakes of	and explains some of	clear explanations of why they	
	fails to avoid them in his	reasoning but misses less	them.	are mistakes.	
	or her own reasoning.	common ones, and does			
		not explain why or how			
		they are mistakes.			