# Guide to Rating Critical & Integrative Thinking

Washington State University, Fall 2006

For each of the seven criteria below, assess the work by:

- a) circling specific phrases that describe the work, and writing comments
- b) circling a numeric score

Note: A score of 4 represents competency for a student graduating from WSU.

1. Identifies, summarizes (and appropriately reformulates) the **problem, question, or issue**.

Emerging		Developing			Mastering	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
Does not attempt t identify and summ		Summarizes issue, aspects are incorre Nuances and key d or glossed over.	ct or confused.	Clearly identifies the challenge and subsidiary, embedded, or implicit aspects of the issue. Identifies integral relationships essential to analyzing the issue.		
Comments:						

2. Identifies and considers the influence of **context \*** and **assumptions**.

Emerging			Mastering			
1	2	3	4	5	6	
Approach to the iss or socio-centric ter issue to other conte political, historical,	ms. Does not relate exts (cultural,	Presents and explores relevant contexts and assumptions regarding the issue, although in a limited way.		<b>3</b>   '		
, ,	nalysis is grounded in absolutes, ith little acknowledgment of own ases.		Analysis includes some outside verification, but primarily relies on established authorities.		Analysis acknowledges complexity and bias of vantage and values, although may elect to hold to bias in context.	
Does not recognize context or surface assumptions and underlying ethical implications, or does so superficially.		Provides some recognition of context and consideration of assumptions and their implications.		Identifies influence questions assumpti ethical dimensions issue.	ons, addressing	
Comments:						

#### Contexts may include:

Cultural/social	Scientific
Group, national, ethnic behavior/attitude	Conceptual, basic science, scientific method
Educational	Economic
Schooling, formal training	Trade, business concerns costs
Technological	Ethical
Applied science, engineering	Values
Political	Personal Experience
Organizational or governmental	Personal observation, informal character

#### 3. Develops, presents, and communicates **OWN** perspective, hypothesis or position.

	Devel	oping	Masterin		
2	3	4	5	6	
Position or hypothesis is clearly inherited or adopted with little original consideration.		Position includes some original thinking that acknowledges, refutes, synthesizes or extends other assertions, although some aspects may have been adopted.		Position demonstrates ownership for constructing knowledge or framing original questions, integrating objective analysis and intuition.	
g to clarify the	•	, ,	on the issue, drawir experience, and info	ng support from ormation not	
Fails to present and justify own opinion or forward hypothesis.		Presents and justifies own position without addressing other views, or does so superficially.		while qualifying or	
sis is unclear or	, .	,	sophisticated, integ	rative thought and	
	sis is clearly d with little original source or view of g to clarify the relative to one's	2 sis is clearly d with little original d with little original source or view of ag to clarify the relative to one's  l justify own hypothesis.  2 Position includes sof thinking that acknow synthesizes or exter assertions, although may have been ado  Presents own position though inconsistents  Presents and justified without addressing of does so superficially  Position or hypothesis.	Position includes some original thinking that acknowledges, refutes, synthesizes or extends other assertions, although some aspects may have been adopted.  Presents own position or hypothesis, though inconsistently.  Presents and justifies own position without addressing other views, or does so superficially.	2 3 4 5  sis is clearly d with little original thinking that acknowledges, refutes, synthesizes or extends other assertions, although some aspects may have been adopted.  Presents own position or hypothesis, though inconsistently.  Presents and justifies own position without addressing other views, or does so superficially.  Position demonstrat constructing knowle original questions, i objective analysis a objective analysis	

### 4. Presents, assesses, and analyzes appropriate supporting data/evidence.

Emerging		Deve	loping	Mastering	
1	2	3	4	5	6
No evidence of search, selection or source evaluation skills.		Demonstrates adequate skill in searching, selecting, and evaluating sources to meet the information need.		Evidence of search, selection, and source evaluation skills; notable identification of uniquely salient resources.	
Repeats information provided without question or dismisses evidence without adequate justification.		Use of evidence is qualified and selective.		Examines evidence and its source; questions its accuracy, relevance, and completeness.	
Does not distinguis opinion, and value	<b>5</b> ,	Discerns fact from or recognize bias in evattribution is inappr	idence, although	Demonstrates unde facts shape but ma opinion. Recognizes selection bias.	y not confirm
Conflates cause and correlation; presents evidence and ideas out of sequence.		Distinguishes causality from correlation, though presentation may be flawed.		Correlations are distinct from causal relationships between and among ideas. Sequence of presentation reflects clear organization of ideas, subordinating for importance and impact.	
Data/evidence or sources are simplistic, inappropriate, or not related to topic.		Appropriate data/ev provided, although appears to have be	exploration	Information need is and integrated to n assignment, course interests.	neet and exceed
Comments:					

### 5. Integrates issue using OTHER (disciplinary) perspectives and positions.

Emerging		Deve	eloping	Maste	
1	2	3	4	5	6
Deals with a single perspective and fails to discuss others' perspectives.		Begins to relate alternative views to qualify analysis.		Addresses others' perspectives and additional diverse perspectives draw from outside information to qualify analysis.	
Adopts a single idea or limited ideas with little question. If more than one idea is presented, alternatives are not integrated.		Rough integration of multiple viewpoints and comparison of ideas or perspectives. Ideas are investigated and integrated, but in a limited way.		Fully integrated perspectives from variety of sources; any analogies are used effectively.	
Engages ideas that are obvious or agreeable. Avoids challenging or discomforting ideas.		Engages challenging ideas tentatively or in ways that overstate the conflict. May dismiss alternative views hastily.  Analysis of other positions is		Integrates own and others' ideas in complex process of judgment and justification. Clearly justifies own vi while respecting views of others.	
Treats other position misrepresents then		thoughtful and mos	stly accurate.	Analysis of other ponuments of number ponuments of the number of the num	ositions is accurate, ectful.
Little integration of little or no evidence others' views. No e reflection or self-as	e of attending to vidence of	Acknowledges and integrates different ways of knowing. Some evidence of reflection and/or self-assessment.		Integrates different epistemological wa Connects to career responsibilities. Evi and self-assessmer	ys of knowing. and civic dence of reflection
Comments:					

### **6.** Identifies and assesses **conclusions**, **implications**, **and consequences**.

Emerging		Deve	eloping	Masteri	
1	2	3	4	5	6
Fails to identify con implications, and co conclusion is a simp	nsequences, or	ns, Conclusions consider or provide Identifies, discusses, and extensions, or evidence of consequences extending conclusions, implications, and		itions, and isiders context, and evidence.	
Conclusions present and may attribute of external authority.	•	Presents conclusions as relative and only loosely related to consequences. Implications may include vague reference to conclusions.  Conclusions are qualifie available evidence within Consequences are considered. Implications developed, and considered.		within the context. considered and cions are clearly	
Comments:					

### 7. Communicates effectively.

Emerging		Developing			Mastering		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
In many places, lar meaning.	nguage obscures	In general, language does not interfere with communication.		Language clearly and effectively communicates ideas. May at times be nuanced and eloquent.			
Grammar, syntax, distracting or repea evidence of proofre inconsistent or inap	ated. Little eading. Style is	Errors are not distracting or frequent, although there may be some problems with more difficult aspects of style and voice.		•			
Work is unfocused organized; lacks logideas. Format is ab or distracting.	gical connection of	Basic organization is apparent; transitions connect ideas, although they may be mechanical. Format is appropriate although at times inconsistent.		Organization is clear; transitions between ideas enhance presentation.  Consistent use of appropriate form Few problems with other componer of presentation.			
Few sources are cited or used correctly.		Most sources are ci correctly.	ted and used	All sources are cite correctly, demonst understanding of e social issues involvinformation.	rating conomic, legal and		
Comments:							

## **Overall Rating**

	Criteria	Score
1.	Identify problem, question, or issue	
2.	Consider context and assumptions	
3.	Develop own position or hypothesis	
4.	Present and analyze supporting data	
5.	Integrate other perspectives	
6.	Identify conclusions and implications	
7.	Communicate effectively	
Con	nments:	